	7
I'm not robot	16
	reCAPTCHA

Continue

Matthew hussey when he takes ages to reply

I read an article on Matthew Hussey in The Times at the weekend. You can read it here. Matthew Hussey has helped thousands of people, but his responses to texts sent by flaky daters is dire. Language is powerful, and choice of words is important. Here's one example from Matthew Hussey's suggested reply to a booty call, when the other person hadn't show up for a date that was arranged. He suggested (my bolding):"I thought we were catching up at a more reasonable hour. But since I didn't hear from you up is unacceptable, and move on to someone who will actually turn up. Let's look at the reply in more detail. Everything Hussey has suggested minimises any fault on the man's part. "I thought" - implying she is not sure of what's going on here. Maybe there wasn't any date arranged. And she just got confused. Poor dear. "Catching up" - Oh! It wasn't even a date anyway. "I assumed" - If you assume something, you don't KNOW. She is giving away her authority to be angry at being stood up. You didn't assume he was flaky for standing you up, he IS being damn flaky, lady!"Having a flaky moment. No! Stick to your guns. You are allowed to say to someone that they are flaky, and you don't want to date people who flake out on you.";)" Just in case you felt you were being too assertive by absolving him of any responsibility to turn up to a prearranged date (that may not have even been a date), make sure he knows that he can stand you up anytime he likes by finishing your response with a wink, so he that can take any last shred of assertiveness on your part firmly tongue in cheek. Let's look at the rest of Hussey's scenarios. 1) "The Validate Me Text" When someone who hasn't been making any effort sends a 'miss you' text. Hussey says reply with "Ah that's so sweet, thank you" and a smiley face. He says that you should accept the message, be sweet and humble with it, but not reply 'miss you' back. Excuse me, but sweet and humble? SWEET AND HUMBLE?! No, be confident, strong and not willing to take any online dating crap. This person has been ignoring you. There is no need to reply to something like this.2) "The Back from the Dead Text"Hussey's reply is "Well, duh!". He says "It's certain, it's confident, and most importantly, it's cheeky. It teases him and puts the woman in the position of controlling his emotions... instead of the other way around."Why assume that the woman would want them! This behaviour is a massive red flag.It's not important to be cheeky and tease someone who vanished. Playfulness isn't a great response to bad dating behaviour.3) "The Entertain Me Text"This, Hussey says, is when a man simply sends a "Hey". He advises women send the same back. So far so good eh! But no. A woman is required to send a 'Hey!' with passion (a.k.a. an exclamation mark), to show she is excited about life and then let him take the lead. Why should she advertise her passion and excitement to someone who couldn't send a message with more than 3 letters? Seriously, do not fall for this shit. Take the lead and communicate if you want to. Don't feel like you have to make yourself look an appealing prospect before waiting for them to take the lead. As above. Also, In Hussey's advice, he includes a ;) to show that even when a woman sets out her expected behaviour (in this case calling at a more reasonable hour), she can still be playful with it and not aggressive. Saying that "I thought we were catching up at a more reasonable hour" cannot be interpreted as aggressive by any stretch of the imagination. You don't have to negate your assertiveness with emoticons. You can say "Don't call or text after 11 because I will be asleep" without it sounding aggressive. And without having to couch it in twee shit. 5) "The I'm So Busy Text"Hussey says this someone who texts sporadically, with apologies for being busy and work/slow at texting. Reply "All good! Have a great week :)" Hussey says. "This message is positive, happy, polite - but low investment. This will scramble his brain..."It's a good response, if you want to keep seeing them. Do you? You are not a priority. It might be genuine, but if it a regular occurrence, it's probably a lack of interest in pursing a relationship. The common theme running through Hussey's responses is that no matter what behaviour the flaky texter throws at the textee, they should be polite, playful, happy, positive. If you want to try to engage the flakes in conversation they yes of course be happy and playful - but again, why would you? You are worth more than this and Hussey should say so. To attract people in online dating, the qualities Hussey is so fond of are powerful and magnetic, but save them for people who are worth your time and energyThis blog is also on my website Love Doctor UK. Photo by Tim Collins on UnsplashIn a moment of teenage desperation, attempting to decipher a text from a guy I was seeing at the time, I found myself resorting to the internet for answers. Skimming my search results, a video of a man offering his interpretation of various texts from other men caught my attention. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was diving head-first into. I was intrigued and decided to give it a watch, not prepared for the rabbit hole I was diving head-first into. I was diving head-first into I was diving generally going by the title of 'dating coach' or 'relationship expert', promised to provide me with the all the answers to my dating woes — with their primary source of credibility being the fact that they were men. So with that, I was quick to dismiss these videos and their authority — regarding them as nothing more than meaningless cash grabs. These men didn't actually have the answers or desire to help me, they were just a group of vultures finding ways to profit from my misfortunes. Yet, whenever one of these videos slipped their way into my recommended section I couldn't help myself from watching been exposed to this world of online dating coaches for so long—watching countless videos of theirs over the years—I finally decided to give them a chance. Being single for the first time in two years, I had forgotten about the difficulties of the dating world. I thought to myself one night that I'd perhaps been too quick to judge these men, that I should give them an opportunity to prove themselves. So, I set up a little experiment for myself and decided to follow one of their videos. Given the environment of the modern world of dating, I figured what better way to test out these dating coaches' advice than through Tinder and other dating apps allowing me the ability to connect with millions, I'm lucky to get anything more than a two message 'conversation'. So, my goal from this experiment was to have a genuine conversation over Tinder and land myself a date — nothing too outrageous but certainly not an easy task from experience. I decided to follow Matthew Hussey's advice from his video; 3 Risk-Free Messages to Make the First Move Online. Why did I go with that video in particular? Well, there was the fact it was a Matthew Hussey video who seems to be the top dog in the hierarchy of dating coaches. He's published books, made television appearances, hosted dating guidance from, he seems to be the guy. As well as that, the advice he gave in the video was exactly what I was looking for — a selection of messages I could send to guys to initiate an engaging conversation. I've made the first move many a time on dating apps but all my attempts failed to stimulate any discussion. But if these messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing then maybe, just maybe, I could get a date. The Messages really would get the conversation flowing the maybe, it is not a finite formation flowing the maybe and the ma also love (fill in the blank) That outfit in your profile pic...So, with my questions ready I started swiping and sent the first three guys I matched with a question each. Photo by the author Cian was my first match and given that the only information he provided me with was a bio stating 'Anywhere hiring?' the only message I could think to send was, 'Are you really looking for somewhere hiring?'. I'll admit, I felt like a bit of an idiot sending that message option one is that it makes the conversation about the guy, meaning he can easily relate to it and therefore find it easier to respond. It also gives the impression of a genuine interest in him — setting a positive first impression. And based on Cian's responses, the logic added up. Message two went to Rajheem who apparently worked at Dunder Mifflin; 'I have no idea if we would get along but I had to send you a message because you also love The Office'. This message, I was excited to send — I'll take any chance I can get to talk about The Office. With that in mind, I wasn't too surprised to get a reply. We had a small back and forth referencing the show throughout the night however beyond that we didn't have much to talk about. That being said, I still enjoyed our chat. And it makes sense that we had a good initial conversation. Hussey describes the advantage of sending a message about shared interests is that you can go beyond showing your interest solely based off looks. He also claims the message puts you in a high-value position so you don't even know if you would like the guy. I can only assume this is some psychological trick to try get the guy to chase you, however, seeing as neither of us messaged each other since I can't vouch for the validity of this claim. The last message was my least favourite — not only because I thought it was cringey but I could not find a guy with a noteworthy outfit for the life of me. But eventually, I matched with Graham who was sporting a rather unique all yellow ensemble in one of his pictures. I adjusted the message to work for Tinder — 'That outfit in your third pic with the dog...' — and two hours later got a response. Hussey's messages hit a hat-trick. Although Graham may have instantly assumed I was complimenting his outfit rather than feeling as if he was on a cliff-hanger and become intrigued as Hussey said he would — he still replied and we still had a conversation. We went from a discussing fashion to music, leading to us getting to know each other's interest and hobbies. All in all, it was pretty pleasant and I did believe there was a genuine mutual interest in learning more about the other person. The Results However, despite their success as conversation starters, did Hussey's messages get me a date? I'm happy to report, they did. Cian and I were messaging each other for a few days before we decided to arrange a meet-up. We only grabbed a coffee and a bit of lunch, nothing fancy or romantic, but it was indeed a date. Going into this experience with such low expectations I would have been impressed with one conversation. But to have three successful conversations and one date — I'm still surprised. I honestly thought Hussey's messages would be too manufactured and unnatural to work but, evidently, I was wrong and I'm happy about it. Even if nothing comes of my date it was refreshing to at least go on one — something I haven't previously been able to achieve. There weren't any claims that these messages would find me the 'right guy' or that they'd lead to romantic success — if a message had the power to do that then there wouldn't be a need for dating coaches in the first place. But I can't deny, the results were beyond what was promised and the messages were effective. Does this mean that these dating coaches do in fact have the answers and that their claims are justified? Not at all, but their advice isn't entirely worthless. As is the case with most parts of life, dating isn't black and white. It's not a science, there's no proven formula that will give you results. But understanding human psychology to some degree can aid you in any social interaction. Even if dating was a science, my experiment would be massively flawed. My sample size was ridiculously small, I didn't have any control group. I could have sent the messages to another group of guys and gotten entirely different results. Equally, I could have sent the same three guys different messages and they could've had the same results. There's no way to draw any definitive conclusions about those specific messages from my experience. However, the advice in the video wasn't the messages—it was the reasoning behind them. They offered an insight into how to get people interested and more engaged with a conversation. Any message with the same reasoning could have worked. The advice from these dating coaches doesn't need to be taken word for word — it shouldn't be. You can't possibly build a healthy and successful relationship on a false and manipulated personality derived from YouTube videos. But they can offer a valuable insight into people's psyche that, whilst still believe that sometimes they are playing with peoples' desperation for profit, however, they do have some value. They may not provide you with the definitive answers to achieving a successful love life but they do provide insight to help you discover those answers for yourself.